September 23, 2003

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution MS-48 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 Austin, TX 78744-1609

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 Austin, TX 78744-1609	
MDR Tracking #: IRO #:	M2-03-1747-01 5251
Organization. The Texas Worker's Comp	tment of Insurance as an Independent Review ensation Commission has assigned this case to for WCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute
determination was appropriate. In perform	of the proposed care to determine if the adverse ning this review, all relevant medical records and e determination, along with any documentation and red.
reviewed by a licensed Doctor of Chiropra List (ADL). The health care profession known conflicts of interest exist between to or any of the doctors or providers who rev	v a matched peer with the treating doctor. This case was actic. The reviewer is on the TWCC Approved Doctor onal has signed a certification statement stating that no he reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers iewed the case for a determination prior to the referral n, the reviewer has certified that the review was party to the dispute.
injured his cervical spine on He use. It is documented that did in fact use for the purchase of this device is to enable	INICAL HISTORY anderwent cervical surgery in January 2003. His sorization for a RS-4i sequential stimulator for home use the unit for several months's clinical rationale the patient to control his chronic pain and discomfort mabit-forming way asks for pre-authorization for is unit by
be one of a long-term nature which would	mied it based on "The condition is not documented to make the purchase necessary." On 7/10/03 request, stating that condition was chronic in a cute conditions.
The purchase of a RS-4i stimulator is requ	JESTED SERVICE ested for this patient.
The reviewer disagrees with the prior adve	DECISION erse determination.

1

	BASIS FOR THE DECIS	SION	
condition is chronic in nature	and will most likely not re	eturn to pre-injury status.	The

reviewer finds that the use of a neuromuscular stimulator is medically necessary and continued
home use of this unit will be necessary to relieve the natural effects of his injury.
case falls within the parameters of a complicated case, thus making the use of supportive passive care necessary. The reviewer finds that the care provided falls within the parameters set forth in the Texas Guidelines for Chiropractic Quality Assurance and Practice Parameters, a TCA Publication, 1994.
has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.
is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.
Sincerely,
YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

request a hearing.

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk P.O. Box 17787 Austin, Texas 78744 Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September 2003.